

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
PASSENGER SEXUAL ASSAULT  
LITIGATION

MDL No. 3084 CRB

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER REGARDING REICH  
& BINSTOCK, LLP'S MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL OF RECORD

This Document Relates to:

Judge: Honorable Charles R. Breyer

*A.E. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07668

*A.D. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07091

*K.H. v. Uber Technologies, Inc., et al.*,  
No. 3:25-cv-07700

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER

Having considered Reich & Binstock, LLP's ("Reich's") Motion to Withdraw as Counsel of Record for Plaintiffs A.E., A.D., and K.H. (ECF 4249), the Court finds that, given that Plaintiffs are subject to Uber's October 13, 2025 Motion for Entry of an Order to Show Cause Why 7 Plaintiffs Who Have Submitted Non-Bona Fide Receipts Should Not Be Dismissed with Prejudice, granting withdrawal would cause prejudice to Plaintiffs and Uber, likely delay the progress of this multi-district litigation, and potentially harm the administration of justice.

The Court therefore hereby ORDERS that Reich's Motion to Withdraw is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 19, 2025

